

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,923	07/11/2003	Haruki Fukai	XA-9907	2424
181	7590 07/12/2005		EXAMINER	
MILES & STOCKBRIDGE PC			PHAM, THANH V	
1751 PINNACLE DRIVE SUITE 500		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN, VA 22102-3833			2823	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- No
	10/616,923	FUKAI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thanh V. Pham	2823	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuenty and the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo ate, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timel ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29.</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	•	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-5 and 15 is/are w 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	rithdrawn from considerati	on.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			ED 1 121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of:  2. Certified copies of the priority document of:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the cer	nts have been received. nts have been received in iority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	w Summary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/11/03.</li> </ol>	<del></del>	lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II (claims 6-14) in the reply filed on 04/29/2005 is acknowledged.

## Specification

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

#### Claim Objections

4. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: "step (c)" at the end of the claim should be –step (e)—because only after step (e) there are portions of the contact layer <u>and</u> portions of the first semiconductor layer removed so that the upper surface of the contact layer has that recited limitation.

In the same manner, claim 10 is objected to because after step (c), there is no removed portion of the contact layer so that the contact layer has that recited limitation; it is suggested that "after the step (c)" is changed to –after the step (d)--, in lines 2-3 of claim 10.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,923 Page 3

Art Unit: 2823

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Even step (e) opens to remove further downward to the plurality of semiconductor layers formed in step (a), the specification and the corresponding figures do not provide how, which and what of the plurality of semiconductor layers 11-16 being removed so that the recited limitation "after the step (e), the insulation film remains over the side walls of the plurality of semiconductor layers and over the side walls of the contact layer" can happen.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claim 6, 10 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyashita et al. US 5,835,516.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,923 Page 4

Art Unit: 2823

Re claim 6, the Miyashita et al. reference discloses a method of fabricating semiconductor laser comprising:

- (a) a step of forming a plurality of semiconductor layers 2/3/4/5 on a semiconductor substrate 1,
- (b) a step of forming a first semiconductor layer 6 over the plurality of semiconductor layers,
- (c) a step of forming a contact layer 7 consisting of a second semiconductor layer over the plurality of semiconductor layers,
- (d) a step of selective removing a portion of the contact layer, after this step, (re claim 10) the upper surface of the contact layer has a width in a first direction greater than a width of he lower surface of the contact layer in the first direction, fig. 1(b), and
- (e) a step of selective removing a portion of the first semiconductor layer, wherein the upper surface of the contact layer has a first direction width greater than a first direction width of the first semiconductor layer after the step (e), (re claim 14) the first semiconductor layer has a ridge shape, fig. 1(b).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2823

10. Claims 7-8 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyashita et al. as applied to claims 6, 10 and 14 above, and further in view of Lin et al. (provided by the applicant) and Bowen et al. US Pub. 202/0119588 A1.

The Miyashita et al. reference discloses the process of fabricating semiconductor laser as stated. The reference teaches forming a buffer layer 8 and a current blocking layer 9 but lacks the further steps.

The Lin et al. reference (fig. 1 and the corresponding section on FABRICATION) discloses an SiO<sub>2</sub> dielectric layer is deposited on the side structure as current-blocking layer and a current window is opened by self-aligned technology (re claim 7) Ti/Pt/Au metals were used as both p and n metals (re claim 8). Fig. 1 also shows an n-type clad layer over the semiconductor substrate, an active layer over the n-type clad layer, and a first p-type clad layer over the active layer (re claim 11); the first semiconductor layer is a second p-type clad layer (re claim 12); the contact layer is formed from InGaAs. The first semiconductor layer is formed from InP (part of claim 13).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the Miyashita et al. method with the insulation and further steps of Lin et al. to complete the process of forming a laser device because the dielectric formation and the further steps of Lin et al. would provide the method of Miyashita et al. with "high reliability and highly uniform characteristics in low threshold current, slope efficiency and lasing wavelength" as taught by Lin et al. (the Abstract).

The combination does not use etching solution containing  $H_3PO_4$  (re claim 13, partially). However, the use of  $H_3PO_4$  for etching InGaAs is known in the art as

Application/Control Number: 10/616,923 Page 6

Art Unit: 2823

disclosed by Bowen et al. in fabricating opto-electronic devices [0046]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the process of the combination with H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> in the etching of InGaAs because the use of H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> would provide the etching with enablement the alignment in the y-direction as taught by Bowen et al. [0046].

#### Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh V. Pham whose telephone number is 571-272-1866. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T (6:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tut 06/29/05

George Fourson rimary Examiner